

February 17, 2003

Re: Medical Dispute Resolution
MDR #: M2-03-0606-01-SS
IRO Certificate No.: IRO 5055

Dear

In accordance with the requirement for TWCC to randomly assign cases to IROs, TWCC assigned your case to ____ for an independent review. ____ has performed an independent review of the medical records to determine medical necessity. In performing this review, ____ reviewed relevant medical records, any documents provided by the parties referenced above, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the dispute.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care provider. Your case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Orthopedic and Spine Surgery.

I am the Secretary and General Counsel of ____ and I certify that the reviewing physician in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known conflicts of interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians or other health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care providers who reviewed this care for determination prior to referral to the Independent Review Organization.

We are simultaneously forwarding copies of this report to the payor and the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission. This decision by ____ is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

Clinical History:

This 53-year-old male claimant has had a history of back and leg pain since his injury on _____. Fifty percent of his pain has been relieved by epidural steroid injections.

Disputed Services:

Lumbar laminectomy and nerve root decompression with fusion, using cages at L5-S1.

Decision:

The reviewer agrees with the determination of the insurance carrier. The reviewer is of the opinion that the requested procedures named above are not medically necessary in this case.

Rationale for Decision:

No adequate documentation was presented of mechanical pain at L5-S1, or a mechanical disorder at L5-S1. L5-S1 disorder was found in an x-ray report from 01/20/02, which showed flexion/extension views of the lumbar spine, which showed a grade I spondylolisthesis at L-5 and S-1. Adequate documentation that L5-S1 is the pain generator was not provided.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of this decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings **within ten (10) days** of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings **within twenty (20) days** of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you **five (5) days** after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5 (d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on February 17, 2003.

Sincerely,